

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/874,446	06/04/2001	Brad Baker	30687-11	5829
<b>5179</b> 759	90 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
PEACOCK MYERS AND ADAMS P C P O BOX 26927			MADSEN, ROBERT A	
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 871256927		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/874,446	BAKER, BRAD			
, . <u></u> , ,,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert Madsen	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appl (1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply to a nich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acceptant however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time date from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		•			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancer	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		nsidered but does NOT place the			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:				
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: 75-102.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.					
B.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
		·			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 75 include a combination of limitations that would require further consideration and search such as inclusion of an entire body of a figurine limitation wherein the lower portion of the handle comprises a lower body portion of the entire body, the handle portion comprises an upper body portion of the entire body, and a cap disposed on the middle portion of the body.

STEVE WEINSTEIN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

for M. Cano